



ALAMOSA COUNTY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

8900 INDEPENDENCE WAY ALAMOSA, CO 81101

MEETING AGENDA

8:30 AM

Vern Heersink, Chair, County Commissioner, District 3
Arlan Van Ry, Vice-Chair, County Commissioner, District 2;
Lori Laske, County Commissioner, District 1;
Jason T. Kelly, County Attorney; Roni Wisdom, County Administrator;
Jamie Greeman, Deputy County Clerk

A virtual conference room has been established for anyone who would like to join in to comply with Colorado Open Meetings Law. You may join in [Zoom Meeting ID #270-314-6874](#) or call in 253-215-8782 or 669-900-6833 and use Meeting ID# 270-314-6874. Access may be limited due to network capacity.

Persons speaking during Public Comment will be limited to three minutes. Except as otherwise provided by law no action or discussion shall be taken/conducted on any items not appearing on the agenda. Please address the Board as a whole through the Chair. Comments to individual supervisors or staff are not permitted. When addressing the Board, please state your name for the record prior to providing your comments. Any agenda item may be discussed and acted upon by the Board of Commissioners

February 25, 2026

Invocation

Pledge Of Allegiance

Approval Of The Agenda

Approval Of The General Business Minutes

- . February 11 Regular Commissioner Meeting Minutes

Documents:

[2-11 REGULAR COMMISSIONER MEETING MINUTES.PDF](#)

- .. February 11 Public Hearing Minutes Minor Subdivision - D. Wayne And Sandra Cody

Documents:

[2-11 PUBLIC HEARING MINOR SUBDIVISION - D. WAYNE AND SANDRA CODY.PDF](#)

- .. February 11 Public Hearing Minutes Major Subdivision - Angel Rubio Mix

Documents:

[2-11 PUBLIC HEARING MAJOR SITE PLAN - ANGEL RUBIO-MIX.PDF](#)

Approval Of The Bills/Obligations

Presentation From The Public

Consent Agenda

- . Southwest Conservation Corps 2026 Agreement

Documents:

[SOUTHWEST CONSERVATION CORPS 2026 AGREEMENT.PDF](#)

- . . SLV Church Of Christ Special Use Permit Extension Request

Documents:

[SLV CHURCH OF CHRIST - EXTENSION PACKET.PDF](#)

- . . SLV COG And Railroad Letter Of Support

Documents:

[RAILROAD LETTER OF SUPPORT.PDF](#)

Worksession

- . Access Control Plan

Documents:

[ALAMOSA ACCESS CONTROL PLAN DRAFT 02-25-26.PDF](#)

Appointments

- . Alamosa County And City Fire Department

- . CDOT Grant

Documents:

[CDOT GRANT.PDF](#)

[TRAFFIC SAFETY GRANT APPLICATION FLYER.PDF](#)

[B2B CONTRACT SERVICE AGREEMENT.PDF](#)

- . . Spanish Cemetery

- . . Colorado Wildfire Resiliency Code

Board And Staff Updates

Availability of Public Records: All public records related to an open session item on this agenda, which are not exempt pursuant Colorado State Statute, that are distributed to a majority of the legislative body will be available for public inspection at 8900 Independence Way at the same time that the public records are distributed or made available to the members of the legislative body. All supporting documentation is available for public review in the Office of the County Commissioners located at 8900 Independence Way, Alamosa CO 81101 during regular business hours, 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, those requiring accommodations for this meeting should notify the Commissioner's Office 48 hours prior to the meeting at 719-589-4848.

Alamosa County Commissioners Regular Meetings will recess for lunch from 12:00 pm - 1:30 pm

Minutes of the Alamosa Board of County Commissioners Meeting

February 11, 2026 8:30 am Commissioners Chambers

Alamosa County Services Center, 8900 Independence Way, Alamosa CO 81101

Members Present:

Vern Heersink, Chair

Arlan Van Ry, Vice Chair

Lori Laske, Commissioner

Jason Kelly, County Attorney

Roni Wisdom, County Administrator

Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Invocation

Pledge of Allegiance

Approval of Agenda

The PROM Grant Certification and Assurances was added under the Consent Agenda and the appointment of the Airport Advisory Board and Airport Advisory Board Bylaws was added under appointments.

motion/second, Van Ry/Laske motion to approve the agenda as amended

Motion passed unanimously

Approval of Minutes

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to approve the January 28 Regular Commissioner Meeting Minutes, January 28 Public Hearing Minutes Resolution 2026 F-2 Supplemental Budget, and January 28 Public Hearing Minutes Liquor License Application Love's Travel Stops

Motion passed unanimously

Approval of Bills and Obligations

General Account Check numbers 170657 through 170881

Public Health Check numbers 36994 through 37017

motion/second, Van Ry/Laske motion to approve the bills and obligations

Motion passed unanimously

Public Comment

Madison Hardick introduced herself. She said she is a second-year Masters of Urban Planning Student at CU Denver. Her capstone project this year is on affordable housing in Alamosa County and taking a look at what could be done at the land use code level to help out with that.

Consent Agenda

The only Consent Agenda item is the PROM Grant Certification and Assurances

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to approve the Consent Agenda items

Motion passed unanimously

Public Hearings

February 11, Public Hearing Minutes – Minor Subdivision - D. Wayne and Sandra Cody - 2064 County Rd 12 S (Parcel 555904200117)

The Public Hearing ended and the Regular Commissioner Meeting resumed.

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to approve Minor Subdivision 26-001

Motion passed unanimously

February 11, Public Hearing Minutes – Major Site Plan - Angel Rubio-Mix - 2542 S County Rd 100 (Parcel 528736401051)

The Public Hearing ended and the Regular Commissioner Meeting resumed.

Lori Laske said that she agreed with Cindy New's comment about young residents in the community taking an interest in establishing a business. She thinks a diesel mechanic will be in high demand.

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to approve Site Plan 26-001 with conditions

Motion passed unanimously

Appointments

Airport Advisory Board Appointments

The Commissioners had previously interviewed both Carl Denslow and Sid Martinez to fill the vacancies on the Airport Advisory Board.

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to appoint Carl Denslow and Sid Martinez to the Airport Advisory Board

Motion passed unanimously

Airport Advisory Board Bylaw Changes

The Airport Advisory Board recently suggested changes to their bylaws that would accommodate meeting schedule changes. The suggested changes would not really give their board any flexibility if they wanted to change the meeting dates again. Alternate language for the bylaws was suggested.

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to update the bylaws with the following language: Regular meetings shall be held at such time and places as determined by the Airport Advisory Board. The meeting schedule will be set at the December meeting of the prior year and be set for the following 12-month period. The Airport Advisory Board is encouraged to review the meeting schedule periodically and to recommend adjustments when circumstances warrant.

Motion passed unanimously

Quest Car Rental Lease Agreement

Airport Manager, Sirah Masters, presented the Quest Car Rental Lease Agreement.

Arlan Van Ry asked why the lease was just for one year.

Sirah Masters said that the lease can be for three years, but it still has to be approved annually.

Arlan Van Ry also asked about the number of vehicles and parking spaces that they had.

Sirah Masters said that they have 15 parking spots near the terminal and they have 24 cars with the overflow parking next to the radar dome.

Lori Laske asked where the \$27.17 per square foot came from.

Sirah Masters said that when they started, they were around \$23, and they go up with the CPI each year.

motion/second, Van Ry/Laske motion to approve the Quest Car Rental Agreement.

Motion passed unanimously

Colorado Parks & Wildlife Shooting Range Discussion

There have been recent conversations about using the old Alamosa County dump site as a shooting range. Colorado Parks & Wildlife has a grant program that has funds for establishing a shooting range. Alamosa County would not manage the shooting range, they could lease it back to CPW for them to manage.

Richard Hubler looked into the possibility of using the old dump site and he said that basically as it stands now, the landfill is sealed, so nothing can be done that disturbs that seal. He said that the County may want to wait a year before applying for grant funds because the County is in the final year of the post closure plan. Any work out there might be doable, but it would require a lot more work. Nearly all of the property was landfill, so there is not much other room to work on.

The Commissioners were generally in favor of the idea, but there may be more research and information that needs to be gathered before the actual grant can be applied for. The Commissioners would also like to see any neighbors be invited for public comment in case there are concerns.

Downtown Digital Messaging Board

Chris Lopez with the Alamosa Citizen had recently asked about the possibility of leasing the lighted marquee sign on the District Attorney Building. Richard Hubler said that CDOT said regardless of the use of the sign, if there is compensation of any kind, a permit is required.

The Commissioners discussed what costs might be involved, and if the sign would be leased and how the arrangement might work. The County should get the permit first but the Commissioners are not interested in spending any money on this. Roni Wisdom can work with Chris Lopez to see what he has in mind.

Alamosa Mosquito District Letter of Support

During a recent work session, the Alamosa Mosquito District informed the Commissioners that they are working towards building a new building and will probably be applying for grant funds. They asked if they could get a letter of support when or if they needed one in order to apply for any grants.

motion/second, Laske/Van Ry motion to give Roni Wisdom the authority to provide a letter of

support for the Alamosa Mosquito District for grants
Motion passed unanimously

Board & Staff Updates

There being no further business, the Regular Meeting of the Board of Alamosa County Commissioners was adjourned.

ATTEST:

Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Vern Heersink, Chair

Arlan Van Ry, Vice-Chair

Lori Laske, Commissioner

Note: These minutes summarize the final decision made by the Board at the referenced meeting. This meeting was also audio recorded and that recording is available for review. In the event there is confusion as to what the final decision of the Board is, the Board will rely on the audio tape to interpret the Board's intent. The audio tape shall act as an official record of these proceedings for any necessary purpose when, in the opinion of the Board, the minutes are in any way insufficient. An audio copy of the Board of County Commissioners' proceedings is available by contacting the Deputy Clerk to the Board located at the Alamosa County Service Center, (719) 589-4848, or [Email to Jamie Greeman](mailto:jgreeman@alamosacounty.org) jgreeman@alamosacounty.org.

Minutes for the Public Hearing Minor Subdivision - D. Wayne and Sandra Cody - 2064 County Rd 12 S (Parcel 555904200117)

February 11, 2026 9:00 am Commissioners Chambers

Alamosa County Services Center, 8900 Independence Way, Alamosa, CO 81101

Members Present:

Vern Heersink, Chair

Arlan Van Ry, Vice-Chair

Lori Laske, Commissioner

Roni Wisdom, County Administrator

Jason Kelly, County Attorney

Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Richard Hubler, Land Use Director, said that this is a request to separate the farm brewery commercial development from a portion of the adjacent irrigated circle. This hearing was noticed in the Valley Courier in November and neighbor notification letters were sent to nine property owners. This request is to split off 8.6 acres off the corner tract that contains the brewery malting operation so that the irrigated circle can continue as farmland. Both of the proposed tracts access Road 12 South which is maintained by the County. There are adjudicated well permits that serve all the uses on the property.

On this property there is a special use permit for the farm brewery that was previously permitted on the northwest quarter. This proposal does maintain all the necessary water supply and doesn't otherwise impact the conditions. If approved, then the permit would be updated for this special use for the farm brewery to match the legal description.

Richard Hubler reviewed the approval criteria provided in the board packet and noted that all of the criteria had been met. The Planning Commission heard this case on January 14 and staff had originally proposed three conditions related to updating the original plat. Following the discussion and review of the updated plat, the Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval with no conditions, as they had all been met and there were no comments from the public.

Jason Kelly read the list of exhibits:

1. STAFF REPORT
2. APPLICATION
3. RECEIPT OF PAYMENT
4. DEED
5. BANK AUTHORIZATION LETTER
6. TITLE COMMITMENT
7. CERTIFICATE OF TAXES DUE
8. DOMESTIC WELL PERMIT 54710-A
9. COMMERCIAL WELL PERMIT 90473-F
10. AGRICULTURAL WELL PERMIT 90472-F
11. PROPOSED PLAT
12. ASSESSOR RECORD

13. GIS NOTIFICATION AERIAL MAP
14. LIST OF ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS
15. EXAMPLE LETTER TO NEIGHBORS
16. ACFPD COMMENTS
17. ROAD & BRIDGE COMMENTS
18. DWR COMMENTS
19. PUBLIC NOTICE
20. NOTICE TO APPLICANT
21. DEED TO ALLEN
22. LOT 4 TITLE COMMITMENT
23. RESOLUTION 2022 SUP 10
24. PROPOSED PLAT UPDATED JANUARY 10, 2026

ATTEST:

Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Vern Heersink, Chair

Arlan Van Ry, Vice-Chairman

Lori Laske, County Commissioner

Minutes for the Public Hearing Major Site Plan - Angel Rubio-Mix - 2542 S County Rd 100 (Parcel 528736401051)

February 11, 2026 9:00 am Commissioners Chambers

Alamosa County Services Center, 8900 Independence Way, Alamosa, CO 81101

Members Present:

Vern Heersink, Chair

Arlan Van Ry, Vice-Chair

Lori Laske, Commissioner

Roni Wisdom, County Administrator

Jason Kelly, County Attorney

Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Richard Hubler, Land Use Director, said that the applicant is requesting approval of a major site plan to develop and operate a diesel equipment repair shop. The property is currently addressed as 2542 South County Rd 100 and it is in the industrial zone district. It does have access off of Rd 100 even though it's against the highway because of the CDOT limited access. This application was noticed in the Valley Courier on November 29th, and 15 property owners were sent notification letters.

The subject property is a previously undeveloped lot zoned as industrial. The applicant has built a 40' x 60' pole barn with the intention to use it for the repair of large vehicles. He has obtained an exempt well permit and the proposal includes a 12' x 20' office building. He has been working with staff to ensure that these structures comply with the adopted building codes.

Richard Hubler reviewed the relevant regulations and approval criteria as outlined in the board packet. The Planning Commission heard the case on January 14 and there was extensive discussion about the details of the site plan including vehicle parking, material storage, operations and access. There were no comments from the public and the Planning Commission voted unanimously to approve the project with seven conditions.

Lori Laske asked if the access to the property had an apron.

Richard Hubler explained that the easement access to that property was actually established several years back, and there is just one access point that serves several properties.

Arlan Van Ry asked why condition seven says that no vehicle shall be parked within 25 feet of the south property line.

Richard Hubler said it relates to the way the County determines what is the front of the property and what the front setback is related to the access. In this case, even though the property is really a highway property, its front is actually on the north side. The Planning Commission was concerned about having a lot of items right up against the fence on the highway.

Public Comment

Cindy New said that she was excited to see a young person willing to invest in doing business in Alamosa

County, especially with something that would be a pretty large project.

Jason Kelly read the list of exhibits:

- 1. STAFF REPORT
- 2. APPLICATION
- 3. RECEIPT OF PAYMENT
- 4. DEED
- 5. OWNERSHIP & ENCUMBRANCE REPORT
- 6. CERTIFICATE OF TAXES DUE
- 7. WELL PERMIT
- 8. SITE PLAN
- 9. ASSESSOR RECORD
- 10. GIS NOTIFICATION AERIAL MAP
- 11. LIST OF ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS
- 12. EXAMPLE LETTER TO NEIGHBORS
- 13. ACFPD COMMENTS
- 14. ROAD & BRIDGE COMMENTS
- 15. CDOT COMMENTS
- 16. DWR COMMENTS
- 17. PUBLIC NOTICE
- 18. NOTICE TO APPLICANT
- 19. SITE PLAN UPDATED FEBRUARY 4, 2026
- 20. WASTE MANAGMENT PLAN

ATTEST:

Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Vern Heersink, Chair

Arlan Van Ry, Vice-Chairman

Lori Laske, County Commissioner

PROJECT PARTNER AGREEMENT CREW BASED CONSERVATION

This Project Partner Agreement (the “Agreement”) is between **Conservation Legacy** and **Alamosa County** (“Partner”) (each a “Party” and collectively the “Parties”).

WHEREAS, Conservation Legacy is a nonprofit corporation organized under the laws of Colorado and exempt from federal income taxation under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code;

WHEREAS, Conservation Legacy facilitates and manages local conservation programs in communities across the country that provide the combined benefits of engaging youth in high quality service opportunities and furthering conservation goals, producing enduring impact through local action;

WHEREAS, Partner is Alamosa County ; and

WHEREAS, Partner desires collaboration with Conservation Legacy in furtherance of one or more specific conservation projects in Partner’s locality (the “Project(s)”).

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, agreements, and covenants contained herein, the Parties agree as follows:

ARTICLE 1: PROJECT

1.1 Project and Crew(s). Conservation Legacy will provide 6-8 person Crew to complete two weeks of project work. This Project may include the following activities, or similar activities, as agreed by the Parties:

- A fully equipped crew with everything needed to safely complete project work.
 - Personal Protective Equipment: work shirts, hard hat, eye and ear protection, gloves, work boots.
 - Vehicle and trailer as needed.
 - Basic tools as well as Specialty tools as agreed upon with partner.
 - Crew camping and kitchen equipment as available.
- Administrative and quality control services: recruitment, hiring, payroll, liability insurance, worker’s compensation insurance consistent with statutory limits, billing, project monitoring, project coordination, partner satisfaction reports, program/project reporting.
- Flexible Scheduling: Crews will work in most weather conditions until safety becomes compromised (Partner is advised to have other projects for crew to complete in the event of inclement weather).

1.2 Crew Supervisor. Each Crew will be led and supervised throughout the Project by a supervisor (“Crew Supervisor”) provided by Conservation Legacy.

1.3 Crew Work Schedule. Each Crew will generally work 8 hours per day for 5 days, for 2 weeks, for a total of 80 hours completed to achieve two weeks of project work. Crew will have morning and afternoon breaks of 15 minutes each, a 30-minute lunch break, and a tool maintenance period at the end of each day. Project hours include rigg-up on the first day, de-rig on the last day, on-site education opportunities, and travel between Partner's administrative site and the Project site(s). Although a Crew will generally work in inclement weather, Crews will not be expected to work in weather that poses a safety risk.

1.4 Crew Tools and Equipment. Conservation Legacy will provide all tools, equipment and personal protective equipment ("PPE"), needed by each Crew for the Project, including gear appropriate to expected weather conditions. Such equipment will include equipment needed for Project tasks as well as any necessary camping in the field. Conservation Legacy will also provide one or more vehicles for each Crew, as needed for accessing the Project site(s).

1.5 Training. Conservation Legacy will ensure each Crew has received adequate training for all Project tasks.

ARTICLE 2: PARTNER OBLIGATIONS

2.1 Project Access. Partner hereby certifies that it has the legal right to invite a Crew onto the land that constitutes the Project site(s) and has provided all notices to any third party that has an interest in the land and/or may reasonably be expected to be encountered at the Project site(s) by a Crew.

2.2 Project Specifications. Partner will provide:

2.1.1 Clear information to Conservation Legacy regarding the scope of Project work expected and will collaborate timely and in good faith with Conservation Legacy regarding any questions;

2.1.2 A brief overview of the Project land, as well as any specially required training on riparian ecology, invasive plants, threatened or endangered species, and any similar information relevant to the Project; and

2.1.3 Clear directions to the Project site(s) and all necessary information regarding property access rights.

2.3 Logistical Support. Partner will provide logistical support as needed, including a place to replenish water and a place to store tools and vehicles if needed.

2.4 Data Collection Support. If Partner requires data collection by a Crew, Partner will provide clear guidance regarding the data to be collected, the format in which it is to be collected, and any special tools needed for purposes of collection and recording in the field.

2.5 Publicity Coordination. To the extent that publicity relating to a Project, or portion thereof, may benefit Conservation Legacy and/or Partner, Partner will collaborate in good faith with Conservation Legacy regarding publicity opportunities and messaging.

2.6 Insurance. Partner shall maintain insurance adequate to cover (i) risk of harm to Crew members or Conservation Legacy property arising from performance of this Agreement, (ii) risk of loss or damage to third parties arising from activities carried out by Partner or Conservation Legacy (including by any Crew) under this Agreement. Such policies shall provide coverage in amounts appropriate to the risks to the Parties, to third parties, and to the property of each, that are presented by the nature of the work.

2.7 Post-Project Evaluation. Partner will provide Conservation Legacy a post-Project evaluation of each Crew in a format reasonably requested by Conservation Legacy.

ARTICLE 3: PROJECT PURPOSE AND DELIVERABLES

3.1 Agreement Purpose. The Parties acknowledge that a core purpose of this Agreement is to provide a service and learning opportunity for Crew members. Although each Crew shall be required to pursue accomplishment of the Project's specific conservation goals through diligent work consistent with the nature of the Parties' shared service goals, there may be circumstances under which not all conservation goals are accomplished.

3.2 Deliverables. In recognition of the Agreement purpose set forth in Section 2.1 (Agreement Purpose), the Parties agree that Conservation Legacy will have satisfied its obligations under this Agreement upon furnishing the good faith effort of one or more properly trained and equipped Crews as described in Article 1 (Project).

ARTICLE 4: FINANCIAL SUPPORT

4.1 Financial Support. Partner will pay Conservation Legacy \$9,500 per week for each Crew.

4.2 Payment. Conservation Legacy shall send an invoice for the amount set forth above to Partner within two weeks of the end of the Project. Partner will make payment within 30 days of receipt of invoice from Conservation Legacy. Partner agrees to pay an additional amount of 2 percent per month for every 30 days a payment is late beyond the initial 30-day due date.

4.3 Incremental Payment. In the event the Project will take place over a period of more than one month, Partner will make payment for incremental payment of the amount set forth in Section 4.2 (Payment) through incremental monthly payments proportional to the conservation work accomplished each month. Conservation Legacy will invoice for such incremental payments within two weeks of the end of the month, and Partner shall make payment within 30 days, subject to a 2 percent late payment fee as set forth in Section 4.2 (Payment).

ARTICLE 5: TERM

5.1 Term. This Agreement shall commence on the date of last signature below and shall end on 12/31/2026 unless extended by the Parties.

5.2 Extension. The Term may be extended as follows:

5.2.1 Automatic Extension for Project Accomplishment. If commencement of the Project covered by this Agreement, or any significant components thereof, is delayed, the end of the Term shall be automatically extended to the date that is 30 days after the end of the last covered Project.

5.2.2 Mutual Agreement. The Parties may extend the Term of this Agreement by mutual written agreement.

5.3 Survival of Certain Terms. Terms relating to insurance, liability, and payment shall survive the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

ARTICLE 6: TERMINATION

12.1 Termination for Cause. This Agreement may be terminated for cause upon written notice by either Party. No termination for cause may be carried out until the noncomplying Party has been provided notice of the grounds for termination and a ten (10) day cure period. For purposes of this Section 12.1 (Termination for Cause) “cause” shall mean:

12.1.1 A material breach by the other Party;

12.1.2 The loss, suspension or restriction of any license or other authorization to do business that is necessary for the other Party to perform services under this Agreement; and/or

12.1.3 The debarment, suspension, exclusion or ineligibility of Partner from participation in any federal awards or health care programs.

12.2 Termination for Convenience. Either Party may terminate this Agreement without cause upon ninety (90) days’ prior written notice to the other Party.

12.3 Termination by Conservation Legacy Relating to Federal Programs. If any federal program funding that supports the Conservation Legacy’s Crew members is suspended, terminated or materially reduced, Conservation Legacy shall have the right to immediately suspend and/or terminate this Agreement. Conservation Legacy will promptly notify Partner of any federal funding suspension, termination, or material reduction that is likely to impact this Agreement.

ARTICLE 7: PARTY REPRESENTATIVES

Southwest Conservation Corps Program Contact	Southwest Conservation Corps Administrative Contact
Name: Alix Jensen Title: Program Director Address: 701 E Rainbow Blvd, Salida CO 81201 Phone: 719-580-8199 Email: ajensen@conservationlegacy.org	Name: Juan Fernandez Title: Executive Director Address: 701 Camino Del Rio Durango CO 81301 Phone: 970-902-3563 Email: jfernandez@conservationlegacy.org

Partner Field Contact	Partner Administrative Contact
Name: _____ Title: _____ Address: _____ Phone: _____ Email: _____	Name: Roni Wisdom Title: Alamosa County Administrator Address: 8900 Independence Way Alamosa, CO 81101 Phone: 719-589-4848 Email: rwisdom@alamosacounty.org

ARTICLE 8: MISCELLANEOUS

8.1 No Waivers. No assent or waiver, express or implied, of any breach of any one or more of the covenants, conditions or provisions hereof shall be deemed a waiver of any other covenant, condition or provision hereof, or a waiver of any subsequent breach of the same covenant, condition or provision.

8.2 Severability. The provisions of this Agreement are severable. If any clause, sentence, provision or other portion of this Agreement is or becomes illegal, null, void, or unenforceable for any reason, or is held by any court of competent jurisdiction to be so, the remaining portions of this Agreement shall continue to be valid and enforceable as to the Parties hereto, unless the Parties agree that such a clause, sentence, provision, or other portion of this Agreement is of sufficient materiality to require amendment or termination of this Agreement.

8.3 Captions. The captions used herein as headings of the various articles hereof are for convenience only, and the Parties agree that such captions are not to be construed to be part of this Agreement or to be used in determining or construing the intent or content of this Agreement.

8.4 Assignment. The rights, obligations and responsibilities established herein shall not be assigned, delegated, or transferred by either Party without the express written consent of the other Party.

8.5 Choice of Law and Forum Selection. The interpretation, performance and enforcement of this Agreement shall be governed by the law of the state of Colorado without regard to such

state's conflicts of laws rules. Any dispute arising under or relating to this Agreement shall be brought in a court of competent jurisdiction in the state of Colorado.

8.6 No Intended Third-Party Beneficiaries. There are no intended third-party beneficiaries of this Agreement. The Crew member are not intended beneficiaries of this Agreement.

8.7 Entire Agreement; Amendments. This Agreement represents the complete understanding of the Parties with regard to the subject matter. Any amendment to this Agreement shall be in writing and signed by both Parties. This Agreement supersedes any other agreements or understandings between the Parties, whether oral or written, relating to the subject matter of this Agreement. No such other agreements or understandings may be enforced by either Party, nor may they be employed for interpretation purposes in any dispute involving this Agreement.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties execute this Agreement by signature below, with an effective date of date of last signature.

For CONSERVATION LEGACY

For ALAMOSA COUNTY

Signature: _____

Signature: _____

Print: _____

Print: _____

Title: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Date: _____



**SLV Church of Christ
EXTENSION REQUEST
SUP 24-001**

February 25, 2026 - BOCC 8:30 AM

LIST OF EXHIBITS

1. STAFF REPORT
2. LETTER FROM APPLICANT
3. SUP CERTIFICATE
4. RESOLUTION 2024 SUP-1
5. CONGREGATION DOCUMENTATION
6. MINUTES FROM BOCC MEETING FEBRUARY 14, 2024

**Alamosa County
Land Use & Building Department
Case Memorandum**



County Commission Meeting

Date: February 25, 2026
Case Number: Extend SUP 24-001
Applicant: Thomas Masterson of San Luis Valley Church of Christ
Prepared By: Richard Hubler, Land Use Administrator

Subject:

Thomas Masterson, on behalf of the San Luis Valley Church of Christ, is requesting an extension of existing **Special Use Permit #24-001** issued for a Place of Worship on property addressed as 2534 S County Rd 100, Parcel 528736401053. This property is in the Industrial (I) zone district.

Tract 3 of the Mid-Valley Commercial Subdivision Exemption, according to the plat filed September 21, 1979 at Reception No. 204961, County of Alamosa, State of Colorado.

Background:

On February 14, 2024, the Alamosa Board of County Commissioners approved the Special Use Permit request by San Luis Valley Church of Christ to develop a Place of Worship and relocate from their existing location within Alamosa city limits. The approval included 7 conditions, as documented on Resolution 2024-SUP-1. Staff received the statement of authority (condition 7) on July 16, 2024 and issued the Special Use Permit on July 17, 2024. Condition of approval #5 provided one year from that permit issuance to obtain a building permit or certificate of occupancy. Staff fielded questions from a local architect in spring of 2025 about building permit and site development details, but did not receive any application.

Staff reached out to Mr. Masterson in December about the status of the project, and he provided he attached letter requesting an extension. Noting challenges with construction, the letter states that current estimates are to begin construction in May with completion in November, give or take 45 days.

Recommendation:

Staff Recommends **Extending SUP 22-003** by one year to July 17, 2026 with the original conditions but modifying #5 to read:

5. The Special Use Permit shall expire July 17, 2026 unless the use has commenced, as defined by a valid building permit or issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy from the Department.

San Luis Valley church of Christ

PO Box 597

Alamosa, CO 81101

330-324-7371

February 17, 2026

Dear Mr. Hubler,

Our Congregation would like to thank you and Alamosa County for helping us with this project. We had hoped to be further along in our building project. In fact, we had hoped to be in the building by now. However, we have had two challenges. First, the company that we chose to construct the steel building backed out on us. At the same time we had a challenge with one of our members that was to be heading up the project as our construction manager from the standpoint of the church. He had a very unfortunate circumstance occur within his family that put him in a position of not being able to carry the project forward. He had to step down.

We have now selected Matt Yoder to build our church building and have a different member of the congregation to lead the project for our congregation. James Nunley is an engineer and will be of great value to us.

To give you a new understanding of our timeline, we met last week to discuss when Matt Yoder will look to start on the building so he knows when to start pulling permits. Matt also provided an idea of how long the construction of the building will take once the project begins. Our estimate is that we will begin construction in May with completion in November. This estimate may vary by 30 to 45 days.

At this time, we are requesting the appropriate extension for getting the church building construction.

Please advise if there is any further information that I need to provide to assist in getting what is needed for us to be in compliance with the county as we move forward with this project.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Thomas A. Masterson", written over a horizontal line.

Thomas A. Masterson

On behalf of the San Luis Valley church of Christ



SPECIAL USE PERMIT

PERMIT NO: SUP 24-001

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/17/2024

RESOLUTION NO: 2024-SUP-1

ALAMOSA COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF LAND USE

8999 INDEPENDENCE WAY SUITE 100 ALAMOSA, CO 81101

This certificate verifies that the activity as described below is in compliance with the requirements of the Land Use & Development Code as adopted by Alamosa County.

Applicant/Permittee:	San Luis Valley Church of Christ		
Description (use):	Place of Worship		
Location of Activity:	2534 S County Rd 100		
Property Description:	Parcel No.	528736401053	
	Parcel Size:	5.18 ac	Zoning District: Industrial (I)
	Legal Description:	Tract 3, Mid-Valley Commercial Subdivision Exemption, REC# 204961	
Property Owner:	San Luis Valley Church of Christ		
Property Owner Address:	PO Box 597	City: ALAMOSA	State: CO ZIP: 81101

Richard Hubler

RICHARD HUBLER
LAND USE ADMINISTRATOR

July 18, 2024

DATE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ALAMOSA COUNTY

RESOLUTION NO: 2024-SUP-1

RE: APPLICATION OF THOMAS MASTERSON ON BEHALF OF THE SAN LUIS VALLEY CHURCH OF CHRIST REQUESTING A SPECIAL USE PERMIT TO DEVELOP A PLACE OF WORSHIP ON PROPERTY LOCATED IN SECTION 18, TOWNSHIP 38 NORTH, RANGE 9 EAST OF THE NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, COUNTY OF ALAMOSA, STATE OF COLORADO.

Commissioner Heersink moved for the adoption of the following resolution.
Commissioner Van Ry seconded the motion.

WHEREAS, Thomas Masterson on behalf of the San Luis Valley Church of Christ has submitted an application for a special use permit to develop a place of worship on property being described as follows:

Tract 3 of the Mid-Valley Commercial Subdivision Exemption, according to the plat filed September 21, 1979 at Reception No. 204961, County of Alamosa, State of Colorado.

said property being zoned Industrial (I); and

WHEREAS, A public hearing was held before the Alamosa County Planning Commission on January 10, 2024, following proper notice to the public, and the Planning Commission recommended approval of the application with certain conditions; and

WHEREAS, A public hearing was held on February 14, 2024, before the Board of County Commissioners of Alamosa County, following proper notice to the public; and

WHEREAS, The Board of County Commissioners has considered the application, and the evidence presented, including testimony by the applicant and witnesses, and exhibits entered into the record and finds that the application meets all the requirements of the Alamosa County Land Use and Development Code pertaining thereto; and

WHEREAS, the proposed application is consistent with the objectives and purposes of the Alamosa County Land Use and Development Code; and

WHEREAS, The Board of County Commissioners hereby adopts and makes the findings set forth in the staff report except as amended herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the special use application to allow the development of a place of worship on the above-mentioned property is hereby

391659

391659
2 of 2

5/2/2024 2:12 PM
R\$18.00 D\$0.00


Mari Felix
Alamosa County

GRANTED as a special use pursuant to the Alamosa County Land Use and Development Code under Article 8, Section 8.8 subject to the following conditions:

1. The Applicant shall obtain all necessary permits from Alamosa County or the State, including electrical, stormwater, and building permits.
2. The Applicant shall comply with section 4.2 regarding parking and drive aisles, including providing a minimum of 1 space per every 8 seats in the largest assembly room.
3. All exterior lighting on the property shall comply with section 4.5 of the Land Use Development Code as it relates to Dark Sky guidelines.
4. Any signage shall comply with section 4.7.5 of the Land Use Development Code.
5. The Special Use Permit shall expire 12 months from the date of issue unless the use has commenced, as defined by a valid building permit or issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy from the Department.
6. The special use permit shall remain in force unless the activity ceases for a period of 12 consecutive months. In such instance the special use permit shall become void. If a special use is determined by the Department to be void, such determination shall be transmitted in writing to the applicants.
7. Prior to the issuance of any permit the Applicant shall provide a notarized statement of authority from the congregation that it approves of said application.

Roll call vote resulting in approval: Commissioner Heersink, Commissioner Laske, Commissioner Van Ry all in favor.

Done this 14th day of February, 2024

(SEAL)  
ATTEST Jamie Greeman
Jamie Greeman, Clerk of the Board

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF
ALAMOSA COUNTY

By 
Lori Laske, Chairwoman

EXHIBIT 5

As a member of the San Luis Valley church of Christ, I do hereby agree to appointing the following members to sign on behalf of the church in any and all legal matters, including matters regarding the purchase, the building and the sale of property and buildings owned by the San Luis Valley church of Christ.

In all matters described above, we require no less than two signatures for the purpose of creating a binding agreement or contract.

Those appointed to sign on behalf of the San Luis church of Christ are:

Name	Last 4 Of SS#:	Name	Last 4 Of SS#:	Name	Last 4 Of SS#:
Jeff Martin	<u>8357</u>	David Chavez	<u>5060</u>	Josh Howe	<u>0966</u>
Ruben Sandoval	<u>2778</u>	Brandon Woodman	<u>1696</u>	Carey Samford	<u>7639</u>
Austin Taylor	<u>0332</u>				

The following members show that they are in agreement with the above appointments by signing and dating below.

Printed Name	Signature	Date
<u>Dustin Woodman</u>	<u>Dustin Wood</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Coy Stocking</u>	<u>Coy Stocking</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Dana Myers</u>	<u>Dana Myers</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>William Fisher</u>	<u>Will. Fisher</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Brandie Fisher</u>	<u>Brandie Fisher</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Bella Maldonado</u>	<u>Bella Maldonado</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Angel Duke</u>	<u>Angel Duke</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Jeronimo Maldonado</u>	<u>Jeronimo Maldonado</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Erwin Young</u>	<u>Erwin Young</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Dwayne P. Aldridge</u>	<u>Dwayne P. Aldridge</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Connie L. Chavez</u>	<u>Connie L. Chavez</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Arlene Woodman</u>	<u>Arlene Woodman</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Lynne Young</u>	<u>Lynne Young</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Lisa Sandoval</u>	<u>Lisa Sandoval</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Barbara Rayburn</u>	<u>Barbara Rayburn</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>
<u>Heather Aldridge</u>	<u>Heather Aldridge</u>	<u>7-14-24</u>

This sworn statement is made by me, Thomas A. Masterson, this 15th day of July, 2024.
The individuals listed and signed on the front of this document, signed in my presence.

Thomas A. Masterson
Thomas A. Masterson

State of Colorado County of Alamosa

Signed and sworn to before me on July 15,
2024
by the above listed name of individual making statement.

Kristina Pacyna-Pacheco
(Notary's official signature)

Notary
(Title of office)

4-13-26
(Commission Expiration)

KRISTINA LORI PACYGA-PACHECO
NOTARY PUBLIC - STATE OF COLORADO
Notary ID #20164040619
My Commission Expires 4/13/2026

Minutes of the Board of County Commissioners Meeting, held on February 14 2024, at 8:30 a.m. in the Commissioners Chambers, Alamosa County Services Center, 8900 Independence Way, Alamosa, CO 81101.

Members Present:

Lori Laske, Chair
Vern Heersink, Vice-Chair
Arlan Van Ry, Commissioner by Zoom
Jason Kelly, County Attorney
Roni Wisdom, County Administrator
Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk

Invocation

Pledge of Allegiance

Public Comment

Jared Smith commented on concerns he had with the Commissioners and the Land Use Office. Jared Smith claims that the County has harassed him repeatedly. In a recent email received from Jason Kelly, Jared Smith believes that it is the position of the County that the actions of the County are not harassment and the County considers the matter closed.

Jared Smith asked when does Mr. Kelly believe it becomes harassment. He believes the leaders provide solutions and as leaders the County has failed to engage on this matter. He respects the County's choice, but their actions or lack thereof in this case have consequences. He believes that the County would prefer that the water leave the San Luis Valley. The water will be sold just as soon as he finishes his project on his way out of the valley. Two Commissioners campaigned on protecting water, but they failed on this. In dismissing the situation, another issue was created. Dodging accountability is not a valid solution. He feels it is because the previous Commissioners dodged accountability. Accountability is important. One Commissioner served as an Army Ranger, but maybe they served in different armies. Accountability was drilled into him from day one at bootcamp.

Land Use is misusing its resources and improperly harassing folks, they want to meet increased permit requirements to generate more revenue. A previous motion was unanimously approved without question, despite knowing the questionable actions of Land Use ahead of time. The Commissioners require no additional accountability oversight, the motion was rubber stamped. The motives are questionable. Land Use is under control of the County Commissioners. Therefore, if the County Commissioners refuse to correct the failed policies of Land Use and provide additional accountability and oversight of them, they are not solving anything, they are effectively the problem. Jared Smith believes that Richard Hubler falsely asserted authority of wells and waters. After the last meeting, he went on continuing to cause trouble with the water division.

After the last Commissioners meeting that Jared Smith attended, the Commissioners said it would not be an issue. Since then, additional attempts on harassment came. The harassing actions did not cease. Jared Smith does not think that Richard Hubler can differentiate between a well or a septic system.

Jared Smith thinks that it's pretty embarrassing to have the County Treasurer at a tax policy meeting pointing out that the County is running deficits, while the Commissioners are prohibiting lawful actions. Jared Smith quoted Thomas Jefferson, "Whenever there are in any country, uncultivated lands and unemployed poor, it is clear that the laws of property have been so far extended as to violate natural rights. The Earth has given us common stock for man to labor and live on. The smart landowners are the most precious part of the state."

Phillip “Bix” Busby urged the County Commissioners to make it possible for him to apply for a conditional use permit to open Mosca Gardens for cremation ceremonies. Crematory services benefit five groups of people. The family benefits from a participatory service that currently is unavailable to them. The employees get a chance to be a part of something bigger than themselves, serving God. The government benefits from property taxes, sales taxes, income taxes and Social Security taxes. The fifth group is everybody who benefits from less surface level ozone due to less driving. The central location in the San Luis Valley is important to minimize the driving time for workers and families to save gas.

Approval of Agenda

Changes to the agenda were made to remove the Del Monte Gun Club Lease Agreement from the Consent Agenda and table the agreement.

m/s Heersink/Van Ry motion to approve the February 14, 2024 agenda as amended

Motion was passed unanimously

Approval of Minutes

m/s Heersink/Van Ry motion to approve the January 24 Regular Meeting Minutes

Motion was passed unanimously

Approval of Bills/Obligations

General Account Check # 159539-159791

PH Check # 35808-35839

m/s Laske/Heersink motion to remove Arlan’s Pro Services

m/s Heersink/Van Ry motion to approve remaining Bills/Obligations of Alamosa County

Motion passed unanimously

m/s Heersink/Laske motion to approve the bill for Arlan’s Pro Services

Motion passed with Van Ry abstaining

Consent Agenda

Commissioner Laske asked to pull the Professional Airport Architectural and Engineering Services from the Consent Agenda.

m/s Heersink/Van Ry motion to approve remaining Consent Agenda items

Motion was approved unanimously

Lori Laske asked how Woolpert is connected to Jviation and she asked why there was not a cost associated with the agreement.

Will Hickman said that the agreement is to help procure a runway broom which is included in the 2024 budget with the costs. But the agreement before them was a Statement of Qualification and does not include the costs. The selection is supposed to be made based on just qualifications. This Statement of Qualification should have been included on their regular architectural engineering contract. There's a lot of Federal Regulations that have to be complied with when the Airport buys equipment. It seems like it'd be a simple process, but it's not. Will Hickman said that he is recommending that Woolpert help with the buying process. It was also recommended through the FAA planner. It's typical of airports.

Roni Wisdom said that Woolpert is also known as Jviation to the County. Jviation was purchased by Woolpert. This is not a new contractor, it is a company that the County has worked with for many years. The FAA

requires a company like this that can assist the County in following all of the guidelines that's needed to purchase large pieces of equipment, build runways, and similar activities. But, unfortunately, this was not added in the last contract, so another request for qualifications had to be sent out.

Will Hickman said that they will have to get an independent fee estimate from a third party. It is a big process.

Lori Laske said that she understood the cost of the broom, but asked what the cost of adding this task to the current contract would be.

Will Hickman said that he did not have an exact cost yet.

Roni Wisdom added that the contract with Woolpert will change a little bit. The FAA has an IFE process where an independent person says this is what it should cost for a consultant and to buy this broom. From there, the FAA looks at what Jviation, or Woolpert says it's going to be and they look at what the independent recommendation was, and then they have a negotiation to figure out where it would end. There's checks and balances with the FAA. Jviation has been more than fair with the County on this.

Lori Laske clarified that the Commissioners are being asked to approve Woolpert as a vendor and to then change the scope of work on the current consulting contract. Then the County will see the price they are going to charge, and then the County can proceed with purchasing the broom.

Will Hickman said that Woolpert was the only company that bid on the project. The notice was published, and he reached out personally to a few consultants.

Vern Heersink asked what work the consultant performed. He asked if they researched different models or if they just did the coordination between the airport and the FAA.

Will Hickman said that he wondered the same thing. But the FAA highly recommended this process because there is a lot of paperwork involved and a lot of requirements. The FAA said that it is very rare for an airport to purchase equipment like this on their own.

Roni Wisdom said that the County will receive a grant for this. All of that will be specified so the Commissioners will be able to see it. This won't be tied in with everything else, there will be a separate grant.

m/s Heersink/Van Ry motion to approve Woolpert as a consultant for the broom procurement
Motion was approved unanimously

Public Hearing

Special Use Permit - SLV Church of Christ, Thomas Masterson attended the public hearing representing the SLV Church of Christ. Richard Hubler presented the application for a special use permit for the SLV Church of Christ to operate on a tract of property that's currently zoned industrial. It is located along Highway 160. The access is not directly off the highway, but actually off County Road 100. This is Tract three of the Mid-Valley Commercial Subdivision Exemption filed in 1979 which created all the tracts that are right along the highway.

Notice was published in the Valley Courier on December 12. There were 12 neighboring property owners who received letters. The church has been operating for several years within the City of Alamosa. It is currently located in the strip mall directly across from Wal-Mart. They want to build a new facility. Places of worship are permitted in the Alamosa County land use code with a special use permit in most zoned districts. But, they're not permitted in the residential high district. The Land Use code permits not only religious services, but also supporting uses. The Land Use code doesn't have specific criteria for places of worship other than parking requirements. The church proposes to do more than the required for parking. This application and the

corresponding site plan is being reviewed and evaluated based on any kind of public assembly. The area that they proposed to develop is a mix of developed commercial lots. There's a number of large irrigated farms behind those strips both to the north and south of the highway corridor. Across the county line to the Southwest is the Monte Vista airport.

Nearby business includes Pro Shed, High Valley Healing Medical Marijuana, and the commercial space currently housing Truck Rack, Best Go Bags, and a couple other offices. Considering the criteria, the first one being that it won't cause any endangerment to the public health or safety, the Land Use Office doesn't believe this proposal is any threat. Access is provided from County Road 100. Regarding meeting the standards and practices of sound land use planning, this project does have adequate access via an easement to County Road 100, which is paved and County maintained. There's adequate area for parking and vehicle circulation. There is a requirement that they have one parking space for every eight attendees. The church has proposed about 50 parking spaces, which is well more than what would be required.

The Planning Commission believes they've met all the requirements for a special use permit. They propose relevant conditions for development and permitting. Regarding the impact and neighboring property and development, the current immediate vicinity is generally underdeveloped. This use classifies as a protected use. It's coming in after the medical marijuana facility that's already there. But it actually is not impacted by the existence of that. There's even the potential for another medical marijuana facility to be established in this general vicinity, just not on the neighboring property. There is a 1000-foot setback from the property line of the medical marijuana facility to the structures that are used as a protected use. That's one of the most impactful things for development in a commercial and industrial strip, because the medical marijuana uses are fairly limited to that area.

This is a general location where appropriate zoning could see future development. Having a church there at this location doesn't prohibit that, but it does limit it. The Planning Commission believes that the special use permit is consistent with the land use code. The location along the highway corridor is similar to the other uses in the County and City. The SLV Church of Christ is proposing a large steel building which is consistent with the existing development pattern nearby. This is not located in any area of environmental concern. The Planning Commission heard this case on January 10.

There were no members of the public present and the Planning Commission voted unanimously to recommend approval with the following conditions. The first one being the applicant obtains all necessary permits from the County or the State. This would include electrical, stormwater, and building permits. Secondly, that they comply with Section 4.2 regarding parking and drive aisles and they're planning to exceed that. Number three, the exterior lighting on the property shall comply with the dark sky guidelines. Number four, that the signage complies with the signage portion of the land use code. Next condition is a standard condition that the special use permit should expire within 12 months from when it's issued unless the use has commenced. Lastly, the use continues as a place of worship. If it ceases for more than 12 months, then the County could declare the permit void. Richard Hubler added an exhibit that wasn't part of the packet which was the articles of incorporation for the San Luis Valley Church of Christ from the Colorado Secretary of State.

Thomas Masterson said that he wanted to thank Richard Hubler for all he has done to help the congregation. They are a congregation that has Sunday morning worship. They have 60 to 70 members and they are growing. They have a current lease that runs through the end of 2025. They are working with a steel building contractor who has a great reputation, and the church will make sure that the building is something that is very presentable and that Alamosa County will be proud of.

Vern Heersink said that there are signage limitations in the County. He asked what happened when that property is along the highway when CDOT has signage limitations also.

Richard Hubler said that CDOT's regulations apply specifically to billboards. If the sign is what CDOT considers on-premise advertising, which is advertising on the property for that business on that particular property, then CDOT refers to the County's regulations. CDOT does have items in their code specifically to some of the newer sign technologies as far as lighting and flashing. Richard Hubler said his office tries to coordinate with anybody that's got a sign to let them know what the regulations are. But the sign permitting is through the county.

Lori Laske asked if the Road & Bridge Department had been notified and if the requirements such as a driveway apron had been addressed.

Richard Hubler said that there is access off of County Rd 100 through a driveway permit obtained by a neighboring property owner. There is a 30-foot easement included with the land purchased by the SLV Church of Christ and they are responsible for maintaining the easement.

Jason Kelly said that he is looking through the articles of incorporation and the articles of incorporation talks about a core group but doesn't identify who that is. It's not clear who is the individual that actually has the authority within that Church of Christ to be the signatory. There are four people that signed a letter of authorization, but their designation is as a member versus as ecclesiastical leader, or as the president of the nonprofit, or however they have it set up.

Thomas Masterson asked if there was something that would be needed in the form of a document that the congregation could prepare.

Jason Kelly said that it would be beneficial to provide something that as a representative of the entity, this application has been presented to the congregation. Jason Kelly can not provide legal advice, but the church should look into developing some type of mechanism so that there are no issues in the future. This is not a barrier to approving the application, but it is something that should be taken care of so that there are not any challenges in the future as far as authority for the church. It could be a condition of approval to have a notarized statement indicating the congregation was notified and the majority were unanimous.

Jason Kelly read the list of exhibits.

1. STAFF REPORT
2. APPLICATION
3. RECEIPT OF PAYMENT
4. AUTHORIZATION LETTER
5. DEEDS
6. OWNERSHIP & ENCUMBRANCE REPORT
7. CERTIFICATE OF TAXES DUE
8. WATER DOCUMENTS
9. SITE PLAN
10. ASSESSOR RECORD
11. GIS NOTIFICATION AERIAL MAP
12. LIST OF ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS
13. EXAMPLE LETTER TO NEIGHBORS
14. EXAMPLE LETTER TO REVIEW AGENCIES

15. DWR COMMENTS
16. SLVWCD COMMENTS
17. PUBLIC NOTICE
18. NOTICE TO APPLICANT
19. ARTICLES OR INCORPORATION

m/s Heersink/Van Ry motion to approve Special Use Permit 24-001 with the condition of a notarized statement of authority

Motion was approved unanimously

Board/Staff Updates


There being no further business, the Regular Meeting of the Board of Alamosa County Commissioners was adjourned.

ATTEST:


Jamie Greeman, Deputy Clerk


Lori Laske, Chair


Vern Heersink, Vice-Chair


Arlan Van Ry, Commissioner

Note:

These minutes summarize the final decision made by the Board at the referenced meeting. This meeting was also audio recorded and that recording is available for review. In the event there is confusion as to what the final decision of the Board is, the Board will rely on the audio tape to interpret the Board's intent. The audio tape shall act as an official record of these proceedings for any necessary purpose when, in the opinion of the Board, the minutes are in any way insufficient. An audio copy of the Board of County Commissioners' proceedings is available by contacting the Deputy Clerk to the Board located at the Alamosa County Service Center, (719) 589-4848, or email to jgreeman@alamosacounty.org.



February 25, 2026

The Honorable Sean Duffy
U.S. Department of Transportation
1200 New Jersey Avenue SE
Washington, D.C. 20590

RE: La Veta Pass Rail Project

Dear Secretary Duffy,

We are writing in support of the San Luis Valley Council of Government's (SLVCOG) request for a 2026 Better Utilizing Investments for Leveraging Development (BUILD) grant; CFDA # 20.993. This grant will provide essential support in rebuilding 22.3 miles of Colorado Pacific Rio Grande Railroad (CXRG) over La Veta Pass (Elev. 9,970), which is the sole railroad connection between Colorado's San Luis Valley and the nation's railroad network.

The La Veta Pass Rail Project includes track, tie, and ballast replacement; reconditioning of roadbed and shoulders; and related road and rail work. Once complete, the project will provide improved safety, operational efficiency, and service reliability, enabling increased operating track speeds, from a low of 5 mph up to 25 mph. The La Veta Pass Rail Project cost is \$9.6 million, with \$2.59 million or 27% of the cost provided by CXRG Railroad.

After the CXRG Railroad acquired the neighboring San Luis & Rio Grande Railroad, CXRG is now able to restore sustainable rail operations throughout the San Luis Valley. Rehabilitating the La Veta Pass rail is essential to the project as this is a vital link to its interchange with the Union Pacific Railroad in Walsenburg, CO. The project will provide economic benefits throughout the San Luis Valley, opening up one of the nation's largest potato growing regions to reliable, efficient freight rail service. The project will also help optimize the region's transportation system, moving greater freight volumes by rail, reducing highway and county road congestion and maintenance costs.

It is our sincere hope that the SLVCOG's BUILD grant request will receive serious and timely consideration. This project is one of our most important economic and transportation initiatives in decades. If you have any questions related to our support, please contact Vern Heersink, Alamosa County Chairman, at vheersink@alamosacounty.org.


Sincerely,


Vern Heersink, Chairman
Alamosa County

Cc/file

ALAMOSA COUNTY

8900 Independence Way, Suite A • Alamosa, CO 81101
719-589-4848 • www.alamosacounty.org






US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
2/13


Legend

- | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---|------------------------|
|  | 3/4 Movement |  | Signalized Full |  | Highway Mileposts |
|  | Close |  | Unsignalized Full |  | Parcels |
|  | Left-In, Left-Out |  | Right-Out |  | City Boundaries |
|  | Right-In |  | State Highway Access
Code Category |  | Existing Shared Access |
|  | Right-In, Right-Out | | |  | Proposed Shared Access |
|  | Potential Street Connection | | | | |

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.

*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.





Access 119 is conditional on development of access 176. If access 176 is developed, access 119 must remain right-in right-out

Legend

▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬇ Left-In, Left-Out

◊ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◆ Right-Out

→ State Highway Access Code Category

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels

— City Boundaries


↔ Existing Shared Access


↔ Proposed Shared Access

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
3/13

Stuart from Market to Victoria must be developed as a public street prior to closure





▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬢ Left-In, Left-Out

◊ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◆ Right-Out

→ State Highway Access Code Category

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.

*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels


— City Boundaries

↔ Existing Shared Access

↔ Proposed Shared Access

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
5/13

Microsoft, Vantor, Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Legend

- 3/4 Movement
- Close
- Left-In, Left-Out
- Right-In
- Right-In, Right-Out
- Potential Street Connection
- Signalized Full
- Unsignalized Full
- Right-Out
- State Highway Access Code Category
- Highway Mileposts
- Parcels
- City Boundaries
- Existing Shared Access
- Proposed Shared Access

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

7th St access to remain until alternative truck routes are identified. Access may be restricted if traffic/safety issues arise.


Future Boys & Girls Club Bus Drop Off Loop
Future loop access to remain until next redevelopment. Access may be restricted if traffic/safety issues arise




Legend

- | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 3/4 Movement | Signalized Full | Highway Mileposts |
| Close | Unsignalized Full | Parcels |
| Left-In, Left-Out | Right-Out | City Boundaries |
| Right-In | State Highway Access Code Category | Existing Shared Access |
| Right-In, Right-Out | | Proposed Shared Access |
| Potential Street Connection | | |

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.



US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
7/13





Microsoft, Vantor, Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, OpenStreetMap Contributors, and the GIS User Community

Legend

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
|  3/4 Movement |  Signalized Full |  Highway Mileposts |
|  Close |  Unsignalized Full |  Parcels |
|  Left-In, Left-Out |  Right-Out |  City Boundaries |
|  Right-In |  State Highway Access Code Category |  Existing Shared Access |
|  Right-In, Right-Out | |  Proposed Shared Access |
|  Potential Street Connection | | |

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
 *Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.





Legend

▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬠ Left-In, Left-Out

◊ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◆ Right-Out

➡ State Highway Access Code Category

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels


— City Boundaries


↔ Existing Shared Access

↔ Proposed Shared Access

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
9/13





Legend

▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬠ Left-In, Left-Out

◊ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◆ Right-Out

→ State Highway Access Code Category

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels

— City Boundaries


↔ Existing Shared Access

↔ Proposed Shared Access

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
10/13

Microsoft, Vantor, Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬇ Left-In, Left-Out

◊ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◊ Right-Out

→ State Highway Access Code Category

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels

— City Boundaries

↔ Existing Shared Access

↔ Proposed Shared Access


Legend

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.

*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
11/13

Microsoft, Vantor, Sources: Esri, TomTom, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community



Legend

▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬠ Left-In, Left-Out

◆ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◇ Right-Out

➡ State Highway Access Code Category

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels


— City Boundaries


↔ Existing Shared Access

↔ Proposed Shared Access

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.
*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
12/13





▲ 3/4 Movement

✕ Close

⬠ Left-In, Left-Out

◊ Right-In

★ Right-In, Right-Out

— Potential Street Connection

● Signalized Full

■ Unsignalized Full

◊ Right-Out

→ State Highway Access Code Category

■ Highway Mileposts

▭ Parcels

— City Boundaries


↔ Existing Shared Access

↔ Proposed Shared Access

*Black outlined symbols represent changes to existing access type or a new access location.

*Blue outlined symbols represent access restrictions that are "conditional", meaning they can only occur if other improvements are completed first.

US 160/US 285 Access Control Plan
February 25th, 2026
13/13



**Colorado Department of Transportation
Office of Transportation Safety
Highway Safety Office (HSO)**

**Request for Application (RFA) and RFA
Guide and Instructions**

FY27 Grant Applications will be solicited February 20, 2026 - March 20, 2026

Applications must be submitted by 5:00 pm on March 20, 2026

OVERVIEW

The Highway Safety Office (HSO) is soliciting applications for Federal FY27 funding. Please note: this solicitation is for a one-year grant cycle, beginning on or after October 1, 2026, and ending September 30, 2027.

All applications must be submitted electronically through the E-Grants System, which is used for application submission, grant reporting, and claims reimbursement.

- E-Grants System:
<https://www.codot.gov/business/grants/safetygrants/funding>
- Need help logging in?
<https://www.codot.gov/business/grants/safetygrants/access>

OVERVIEW OF IIJA

Funding for this grant is made available through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA). **Projects must address a traffic safety challenge supported by data and align with one or more Core Performance Measures.**

PURPOSE

Grants support agencies, organizations, and tribal governments that implement behavioral-based strategies to reduce traffic crash fatalities and serious injuries on Colorado roadways.

Projects must impact at least one of the following core performance measures:

- C-1: Reduce the number of traffic fatalities
- C-2: Reduce the number of serious injuries
- C-3: Reduce fatalities per Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)
- C-4: Reduce the number of unrestrained passenger vehicle occupant fatalities, all seat positions
- C-5: Reduce the number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator with a BAC of .08 and above

- C-6: Reduce the number of speeding-related fatalities
- C-7: Reduce the number of motorcyclist fatalities
- C-8: Reduce the number of unhelmeted motorcyclist fatalities
- C-9: Reduce the number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes
- C-10: Reduce the number of pedestrian fatalities
- C-11: Reduce the number of bicyclist fatalities
- C-12: Reduce the number fatalities involving a distracted driver
- C-13: Reduce the number of drivers 65 and older involved in fatal crashes (At Fault)
- C-14: Reduce the number of fatalities in crashes involving a driver or motorcycle operator testing positive for => 5ng of Delta 9 THC
- C-15: Reduce the number of roadside fatalities
- R-1: Improve the accuracy of data in one or more core traffic record systems (crash, driver, vehicle, roadway, citation/adjudication, EMS/injury surveillance)
- TR-2: Improve data integration between multiple traffic records data systems or support the modernization of a traffic records data system.

AWARD DETAILS

- Estimated Grant Amount: \$50,000-\$200,000
- Total Funding Available: \$10 million
- Estimated Grants Awarded: 75
- Grant Period: October 1, 2026 - September 30, 2027 (one-year cycle)
- Funding Type: Eligible Cost Reimbursement Only
 - No pre-payment or expenses before execution
 - Funds must enhance, not replace, existing funds

ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

- Colorado state agencies
- Federally recognized tribal governments
- Cities, counties, and their sub-agencies
- Non-profits with 501(c)(3) IRS status
- For-profit entities

TIMELINE

- February 12, 2026 Funding Webinar
- February 20, 2026 Release date for RFA
- March 20, 2026 by 5:00 PM Applications Due
- By April 20, 2026 Notification of Funding Decisions Sent
- April 27, 2026 Reconsideration Requests Due
- May 1, 2026 Notification of Final Reconsideration Decisions
- Project Start Date On or after October 1, 2026

SUBMISSION INSTRUCTIONS

Application Submittal

The HSO must receive applications by 5:00 p.m. on Friday, March 20, 2026. Applications must be submitted electronically through the E-Grants System.

RFA Application Guide

All applicants must use the RFA guide below to create applications as there have been changes since the last funding cycle. The guide mirrors the application form in the E-Grants system.

APPLICATION SELECTION AND SCORING PROCESS

STEP ONE: All applications will undergo a technical review by HSO Program staff. This screening assesses timeliness, completeness, eligibility, and verification that applications meet the requirements for funding.

STEP TWO: Applications that pass the technical review will be reviewed and scored by subject matter experts (SMEs) from CDOT, the HSO, and the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). Applications will be reviewed against identified statewide problems supported by local, relevant data. The application must clearly define how the proposed project or activities will have an impact on at least one of the Performance Measures. Applications that do not demonstrate a clear need for the proposed project based on reputable data sources will not be scored. Eligible applications will be scored to assess how well the proposed project aligns with known best practices, or emerging practices with demonstrated success, for motor vehicle safety programming; the ability to impact the identified performance measure and targeted population; and the ability to measure performance and impact.

STEP THREE: The HSO Management staff will make final funding decisions. The HSO reserves the right to award funds based on funding availability and other variables at its discretion.

RECONSIDERATION PROCESS: Many applications are anticipated and scoring criteria will be strictly adhered to, therefore all requests may not be funded. If you wish to submit a request for reconsideration of a funding denial, you must do so through email to Darrell Lingk at Darrell.lingk@state.co.us.

Reconsideration requests must be received by April 27, 2026. Requests must include the application number and a statement of the reasons for the reconsideration request. Reconsideration decisions of the Director of the Office of Transportation Safety will be final. Applicants requesting reconsideration will be notified by May 1, 2026.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Proprietary information: All applications and accompanying documentation become the property of CDOT and will not be returned.

Nondiscrimination: All federal and state nondiscrimination laws, regulations, and policies must be followed during the performance of the project agreement.

Notice of debarment: Applicant shall promptly notify CDOT of any debarment. Those submitting grant applications must notify CDOT if they are presently or have ever been debarred, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from participation in transactions by any federal or state department or agency. Failure to notify CDOT of debarment, ineligibility or exclusion will result in immediate cancellation of the project agreement and any grant monies received must be returned to CDOT.

Right of inspection: Grantees shall provide right of access to its facilities to CDOT or to any other authorized agent or official of the state of Colorado or the federal government at all reasonable times in order to monitor and evaluate performance.

Responsiveness: All applications will be reviewed by CDOT to determine compliance with administrative requirements. Failure to comply with the instructions in the E-Grant application may result in rejection of the application. CDOT reserves the right, however, to waive minor administrative irregularities.

Application costs: CDOT is not liable for any costs incurred in preparing or submitting an application in response to this grant notice.

Insurance coverage: The State of Colorado requires specific insurance coverage(s) for organizations contracting with the State.

Request for Application (RFA) Guide and Instructions

Below are instructions for completing each section of the application. Applicants may complete an application using the template below and then copy/paste to the E-Grants System or insert responses directly into the E-Grants System.

Each application will select a maximum of two Performance Measures (see page 1 and 2 above) and a specific emphasis area(s) in the E-Grants System. Refer to the Emphasis Area Guide [here](#) for further information. Applications must follow the core criteria listed below.

Law Enforcement applications typically focus on enforcement and media education of traffic safety laws. These applications are also encouraged to include community engagement and other educational efforts.

Non-Law Enforcement applications typically focus on a local area such as a city, county, or region and may address a combination of traffic safety challenges. They concentrate on increasing public awareness of behavioral based traffic safety challenges, implementing best practice solutions, addressing systems and policies that need improvement, and educating about issues related to safe driving.

Core Criteria

- **Data Informed:** Strategies must be consistent with crash, injury & fatality, and population survey data. Applicants must use relevant and recent data (within three years) and may also include strategies such as conducting needs assessments to better understand community needs, strengths, challenges, that may impact the success of the project.
- **Evidence Based:** Strategies must be backed by evidence and data, demonstrating their effectiveness in addressing the performance measures selected.

This [document](#) provides a list of resources for application development.

Examples of strategies applicable across non-law enforcement emphasis areas:

- Implement traffic safety coalitions that use a multidisciplinary approach to address traffic safety as a comprehensive and collaborative system. Coalitions should include varied membership including but not limited to: community members and leaders, city planners, policymakers, local public health agencies, law enforcement, schools, parks and recreation, and bicycle and pedestrian advocacy organizations. Coalitions should be prepared to collect and analyze data to understand and address primary causes of traffic injuries and fatalities, and the most dangerous intersections and geographic areas, particularly for vulnerable road users. Coalitions should develop and implement comprehensive and inclusive action plans and evaluate those activities to reduce injuries and fatalities. NHTSA funding cannot be used for engineering or technology activities. It can be used for activities such as needs assessments,

community engagement, coalition facilitation, education and awareness, and behavior change.

- Develop and implement strengths-based outreach, education, programming, and systems change recommendations that promote safe driving and occupant behavior, particularly in communities who are disproportionately impacted by traffic safety fatalities. Work should be led by agencies representative of the communities they serve and have support from local community leaders.
- Implement community-wide strategies focused on reducing [excessive alcohol use](#), such as binge drinking and underage drinking, and cannabis consumption that are correlated with reductions in impaired driving. Education of community members, stakeholders, and decision makers on policies that improve physical environments and community norms that impact individual behaviors.
- Youth-led traffic safety promotion strategies. With support from trained adult mentors, youth coalitions identify local traffic concerns and implement targeted strategies to resolve them. Examples of these types of implementation activities may include but are not limited to youth-led safe driving campaigns, peer-to-peer education, participation in vision zero initiatives, and educating stakeholders about recommended policy changes.
- Collaborate with multiple sectors to build protective factors and increase awareness, understanding, and support of safe driving practices among youth and young adults.

APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS

Below are instructions for what each section of the application should include. Applicants may complete the application using the template below and then transfer to the E-Grants System or insert responses directly into the E-Grants System.

Emphasis Area

In the E-Grants System, you will select:

- Type of application (General Traffic Safety or Law Enforcement)
- Emphasis area that best fits the project's focus

Project Abstract (5 points)

Briefly describe the traffic safety problem(s) the project will address. Include the population(s) affected and strategies to be implemented. This is limited to 2-3 sentences.

Problem Identification and Population (20 points)

Select a maximum of two Performance Measures (see pages 1-2 above) that this project will address.

Using a minimum of the past three years of safety/crash data, clearly state the specific problem this project will address within the chosen emphasis area. This problem must be supported by crash/safety data and directly linked to the selected performance measures.

Law enforcement agencies: crash data must apply to the cities and counties directly served.

Describe the specific traffic safety challenge(s)/problems(s), the population(s) and behavior the project will serve, including information such as age groups, geographic areas, socioeconomic factors, and other relevant community demographics.

Agency Qualifications/Past Performance (5 points)

Describe agency resources and skills to adequately manage the project. Briefly describe qualifications of staff or plans to train the staff who will work on the proposed project, including fiscal staff. If the project involves direct contact with youth, provide information on how criminal background checks are conducted for paid and volunteer staff.

Has this project previously been funded by CDOT? If yes, provide details on past performance and how this project met goals and objectives in previous years.

You will also be prompted to complete the risk assessment. This risk assessment is a guide for HSO staff on the level of support that may be required if a grant is awarded.

Partnership and Community Engagement (5 points)

Identify key community partners (organizations, groups, or leaders) who will be engaged to address the problem. Outline the general collaboration and engagement plan for working with these organizations.

Traffic Records projects only: how does this align with the strategic plan and advance crash data in Colorado? If a data linkage or sharing project is being proposed, has work been done with those agencies and has a plan of agreement been developed?

Project Description (10 points)

Using [NHTSA's Countermeasures that Work](#), or other evidence-based strategies, as the foundation for your intervention, describe each strategy or approach you will use to address the traffic safety challenge. The proposed strategies can be adapted from other

fields but must be data informed, evidence-based or emerging practices with demonstrated success.

There are two supplemental questions specific for Seat Belt Survey Applications:

1. Please outline your plan for how you will complete the site selection process for survey locations.
2. Please describe how you will conduct the seat belt survey.

Traffic Safety Action Plan (20 points)

The following steps must be followed in building a traffic safety action plan:

1. Select Performance Measure(s) (maximum of two)
2. Create Goals (maximum of two goals **per** performance measure)
3. Create Activities related to each traffic safety goal (maximum of three activities **per** goal)

Note: To comply with federal funding guidelines, every goal and activity **MUST BE** directly related to traffic safety.

Action Plan Criteria

- Goals and activities need to have the following SMART elements:
 - Must be **specific**:
 - What specifically is to be achieved with the identified goal(s)? Avoid using vague language or generalizations here. Be clear and concise about what is to be achieved, improved, increased or decreased, etc.
 - Must be **measurable**:
 - How will progress towards meeting the goal(s) be tracked? Think about how success will be defined and how to gauge if the project is on track to meet the desired outcomes.
 - Must be **achievable and realistic**:
 - Think about available resources, abilities, and capacities. Avoid creating goals that aren't realistically achievable for the agency or team given available resources, capacity-levels and time allowances of partners involved in the work.
 - Must be **relevant**:
 - Think about why the goal(s) are important to the agency, partners and community members being served through this work. The goal(s) need to align with long-term visions, additional goals the agency and its stakeholders have, and be relevant to the populations and communities being served.
 - Must be **time-bound**:
 - Assign a due date for the goal(s) as well as any actionable steps needed to achieve success. It's okay if timelines and due dates need to be adjusted in the future - for now, establish deadlines to achieve the goal(s) so that progress can be tracked and how adjustments or pivots can be made if the goal(s) are not on track.

Example action plans below illustrate the required criteria:

Law enforcement action plan example:

- *Performance Measure:* C-6: Reduce the number of speeding-related fatalities
 - *Goal 1:* Between October 1, 2026 and September 30, 2027, [Law Enforcement agency] will reduce the number of traffic fatalities in town/city/county by 3%.
 - *Activity 1:* Provide outreach and education regarding risky driving behaviors at least once every quarter.
 - *Activity 2:* Provide X number of hours of overtime speed enforcement per quarter.

Non-law enforcement action plan example:

- *Performance Measure:* C-9: Reduce the number of drivers age 20 or younger involved in fatal crashes.
 - *Goal 1:* Between October 1, 2026 and September 30, 2027, [Agency Name] will reduce the number of traffic fatalities in town/city/county drivers between ages 14-24.
 - *Activity 1:* Provide Graduated Driver Licensing education to at least 7 schools every quarter.
 - *Activity 2:* Provide traffic safety related outreach at a minimum of 3 community events every quarter.
 - *Goal 2:* Between October 1st, 2026 and September 30th, 2027, [Agency Name] will host X traffic safety youth coalition events.
 - *Activity 1:* Recruit at least 2 new youth safety champions for the year.
 - *Activity 2:* Youth Safety Champions facilitate at least 2 coalition meetings a year.
 - *Activity 3:* Youth Safety Champions will submit a proposal for the annual Safety Summit.

For assistance with any or all of the action plan steps, reach out to your CDOT Grant Manager and cc Ashley Nicks (ashley.nicks@state.co.us)

Evaluation (15 points)

Using the dropdown menu in the E-Grants System, you will be required to select or write up to three evaluation measures for each goal. Please note: you may write your own evaluation measure(s) but you must select at least one pre-written measure.

Longterm Sustainability (5 points)

Outline plans for securing diverse financial support and reducing reliance on this grant funding. List any additional funds supporting or supplementing this program or project.

Budget (15 points)

Complete a budget in the E-Grants System. The HSO is moving toward a summary approach for each budget section. Please include a narrative that justifies and explains each budget item and figure. You may include a detailed budget description in an upload to supplement the narrative.

Example of the summary approach: for each personnel position, include a brief summary of their role and responsibilities. Provide total costs for Operating and Travel and a short narrative, each expense does not need to be itemized line by line.

Grant funds can only be used for eligible costs identified in the Budget. Budget amounts must be reasonable, necessary and support the project activities. Each grant should include travel costs for a maximum of two individuals to attend the 2026 [Highway Safety Summit](#).

Unallowable Project Costs: All projects must follow appropriate state and federal funding regulations. Examples of unallowable project costs include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Office furniture and fixtures
- Swag and give-away items
- Routine roadway construction or maintenance; and
- Funds that supplant existing budgets

Required Application Elements: These documents must be uploaded at the time of the application (if available).

Proof of Good Standing

(Upload in E-Grants System) [Colorado Secretary of State](#)

Certificate of Insurance

(Upload in E-Grants System)

Proof of Active Status in SAM.gov

(Upload in E-Grants System)

Obtain from www.sam.gov

Cognizant Agency Indirect Cost

(Upload in E-Grants System, if applicable)

Rate Agreement, if Applicable

Additional documents that must be uploaded if application to your specific grant

Indirect Rate

(Upload in E-Grants System)

Travel Policy

(Upload in E-Grants System)

—

Detailed Budget

(Upload in E-Grants System)

—

Reporting Frequency: The Highway Safety Office requires three types of reports in conjunction with highway safety projects:

1. Quarterly reports during the life of a project;
2. A final report at the conclusion of a project; include training and consultant reports, if applicable; and
3. Annual Report (Template to be provided by CDOT)

These reports keep the office informed of a project's progress, explain any difficulties encountered, provide a background of information that can be passed on to others, suggest ways in which CDOT can assist with the project and aid in distribution of funds.

Quarterly Reports: These are submitted quarterly and are due within twenty (20) calendar days after the end of the reporting calendar quarter as follows:

First Quarter: 1 October - 31 December - Report due January 20

Second Quarter: 1 January - 31 March - Report due April 20

Third Quarter: 1 April - 30 June - Report due July 20

If there is no activity during the reporting period, submit a report indicating so. Any original or innovative ideas or methods employed in your project should be incorporated into your reports.

Fourth and Final Report: These reports must be submitted within 45 days of Grant Agreement completion, due no later than November 15. Final reports are to be detailed and must describe whether the project objectives were accomplished, if technical and fiscal problems were encountered, and what improvements in traffic safety have resulted or probably will result. Copies of publications, training reports and any statistical data generated in project execution should be included. These final reports should discuss the following:

1. Accomplishments compared to the original project objectives;
2. Were all activities of the project completed as scheduled? Include dates and milestones when studies were completed; equipment acquired, installed and operated;
3. Any unanticipated proceedings that affected the project;
4. Funding and costs for completion of the project in relationship to original estimates; and
5. Third party performance if applicable. A copy of any consultant reports should be included with the final report.

Reimbursement Requirements

- CDOT HSO federal grants are paid on a “cost reimbursement” basis only. CDOT will not make payments in advance or in anticipation of goods or services.
- Claims for reimbursement are required to be submitted on a monthly basis, even if there are no costs incurred (note zero expenditure). Claims are due no later than 45 days after the end of each month.
- Final claims for reimbursement must be received by OTS no later than November 15 (for costs incurred through the final Grant Agreement month of September).

Claims for reimbursement received after the above cutoff dates will not be reimbursed.

Help us make Colorado roads safe for everyone. Apply for a Traffic Safety Grant.

Join the Colorado Department of Transportation's Highway Safety Office in making Colorado roads safer.



The Highway Safety Office is accepting applications for the **Behavioral Traffic Safety Program**

Our Mission:

Reduce the incidence and severity of motor vehicle crashes and the associated human and economic loss.

Grant Emphasis Areas:

- Community traffic safety
- Young and older drivers
- Occupant protection
- Child passenger safety
- Impaired driving prevention
- Pedestrian & bike safety
- Distracted driving and speeding
- Data identification & analyzation
- Enforcement for high risk behaviors
- Motorcycle safety education

Applications open: Friday, February 20th

Applications due: Friday, March 20th

One year grant cycle, beginning October 1st, 2026, ending September 30th, 2027

Want to learn more?



Register for our upcoming application webinar
Thursday, February 12th
9:00 am - 10:30 am



COLORADO

Department of Transportation

Office of Transportation
Safety & Risk Management

***Access our website
for more information***



**SAN LUIS VALLEY
BLUE 2 BLUE
PEER SUPPORT**

Contract Service Agreement

Between

SLV Blue2Blue Peer Support (Organization)

and

Alamosa County, Colorado (Recipient)

For Colorado POST–Funded Services

Effective Date: _____

Contract Term: _____ to _____

1. PARTIES

This Service Agreement (“Agreement”) is entered into between:

1. **SLV Blue2Blue Peer Support**, a certified first-responder peer support provider serving the San Luis Valley (“Organization”), and
2. **Alamosa County, Colorado**, acting through its authorized designee, including the Alamosa County Sheriff’s Office, Emergency Services, and affiliated first-responder agencies (“Recipient”).

Both parties collectively are referred to as the “Parties.”

2. PURPOSE

The purpose of this Agreement is to formalize the provision of **Colorado POST-funded peer support, wellness services, training, and crisis response services** to Alamosa County first responders. The Colorado Peace Officer Standards and Training (POST) Board provides grant funding to support mental health, resilience, and suicide-prevention programs for law enforcement and first-responder personnel statewide.

Provider agrees to deliver the services outlined herein, and Recipient agrees to accept and support those services in accordance with the POST grant requirements.

3. SCOPE OF SERVICES

The Provider shall deliver the following services to the Recipient personnel:



SAN LUIS VALLEY BLUE 2 BLUE PEER SUPPORT

3.1 Peer Support Services

- Confidential one-on-one peer support sessions
- Peer-led crisis debriefing following critical incidents
- On-scene or post-incident support as requested
- Support for stress, trauma exposure, burnout, grief, and professional stressors
- Referral guidance to clinical or medical professionals when appropriate

3.2 Training & Education

- POST-approved training on peer support operations
- Suicide prevention and intervention in accordance with Colorado POST guidelines.
- Blue2Blue specialty modules, including:
 - Recognizing cumulative trauma
 - Officer wellness strategies
 - Family impact education
 - Leadership responsibilities in responder mental health
 - Resources and coping strategies for rural agencies

3.3 Crisis Response

- 24/7 availability for urgent peer support activation
- Response teams for:
- Line-of-duty traumatic incidents
 - Officer-involved shootings
 - Death notifications support
 - Mass-casualty or multi-agency incidents
 - Coordination with the County command staff during crisis events

3.4 Administrative Requirements

Provider will:

- Maintain service logs required for POST audits
- Ensure compliance with POST training regulations.
- Submit non-identifying service usage data as required by the grant.
- Provide quarterly summaries to the Recipient leadership (no identifiable personal details)



SAN LUIS VALLEY BLUE 2 BLUE PEER SUPPORT

4. CONFIDENTIALITY

4.1 Statutory Confidentiality (CRS 13-90-107(m))

All peer support communications are protected under Colorado law. Provider shall maintain strict confidentiality of participant information, and no identifiable data will be shared with the Recipient except:

- Imminent threat to self or others
- Disclosure of child/elder abuse
- Court order compelling testimony under the legal exceptions
- When a client voluntarily signs a written release

4.2 Internal Information Handling

Provider agrees that no personal notes, identifying information, or session content shall be stored in County records.

Recipient agrees **not to require** any employee to disclose participation in peer support services.

5. COMPENSATION & FUNDING

5.1 POST-Funded Services

Services under this Agreement are funded through POST wellness grant allocations. Provider shall not invoice Recipient for services covered by POST funding.

5.2 Non-Grant Services (If Applicable)

If services extend beyond POST-approved activities, Provider may offer additional services at mutually agreed-upon rates in writing.

5.3 Grant Compliance

Provider is solely responsible for:

- Grant reporting
- Submission of allowable expenses
- Ensuring all services fall within POST guidelines
- Maintaining documentation necessary for audit review

Recipient will support verification of service delivery if required by POST (non-identifying information only).

6. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PROVIDER

Provider shall:

1. Maintain properly trained and POST-recognized peer support personnel.
2. Provide services in accordance with best practices for responder wellness.
3. Keep all certifications, insurance, and credentials active.



SAN LUIS VALLEY BLUE 2 BLUE PEER SUPPORT

4. Conduct operations consistent with Colorado's peer support statutes.
5. Maintain readiness for timely crisis activation.
6. Promote a culture of resilience, safety, and wellness among Recipient agencies.

7. RESPONSIBILITIES OF RECIPIENT

Recipient shall:

1. Cooperate with the Provider in identifying logistical needs for training or response
2. Provide safe access to facilities for service delivery when applicable.
3. Encourage staff participation voluntarily and without coercion
4. Ensure that peer support interactions remain protected under confidentiality law.
5. Designate a liaison to coordinate services with the Provider.

Recipient expressly agrees that no administrative or disciplinary action shall be tied to an employee's participation in peer support services.

8. TERM, RENEWAL, AND TERMINATION

8.1 Term

This Agreement is effective on the date signed and continues through the grant cycle unless terminated earlier.

8.2 Renewal

The Agreement may be renewed upon:

- Continuation of POST funding, or
- Alternative mutually agreed-upon financial arrangements

8.3 Termination

Either Party may terminate this Agreement with **30 days' written notice**.

Immediate termination may occur if:

- POST funding is revoked
- Provider loses certification
- Recipient violates confidentiality policies.

9. LIABILITY & INSURANCE

Provider shall maintain liability insurance appropriate for peer support operations. The Recipient is responsible for its own insurance coverage for County employees and facilities.

Both Parties agree to hold each other harmless for actions outside the scope of this Agreement.



**SAN LUIS VALLEY
BLUE 2 BLUE
PEER SUPPORT**

10. NON-DISCRIMINATION

Both Parties agree to comply with all federal and state nondiscrimination laws, ensuring equal access to peer support services for all personnel regardless of rank, gender, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, or background.

11. AMENDMENTS

Any amendment to this Agreement must be made in writing and signed by both Parties.

12. SIGNATURES:

SLV Blue2Blue Peer Support

President

Name: _____

Date: _____

Alamosa County, Colorado

Commissioner

Name: _____

Date: _____